UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231		
SERIAL NUMBER VILING DATE FIRST N	MED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/326,191 03/20/89 CHANDRARAT	IA R	16561C1P
		EXAMINER
	RICHTE	
MARTIN A. VOET ALLERGAN, INC.	ART	INIT PAPER NUMBER
2525 DUPONT DRIVE IRVINE, CA 92715		
		02/11/91
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER I	charge of this application	4
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND	TRADEMARKS	
ADVISORY ACTION		
TV THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
	2 +	
a) w is extended to runer continues to n	3 months from the date of	of the final rejection
b)xpires three months from the date of the final rejection of event however, will the statutory period for the response	as of the mailing date of this Advison pire later than six months from the d	Action, whichever is later. In no ate of the final rejection.
purposes of determining the period of extension and the of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set is Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	ortened statutory period for response	or as set forth in b) above.
There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.11 presented.		
b. They raise new issues that would require further con	ideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
 They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal. 	er form for appeal by materially reduc	cing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a	orresponding number of finally reject	ed claims.
NOTE:		
Newty proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	ould be allowed if submitted in a sep-	arately filed amendment cancelling
Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment be as follows:	Il be entered will not be entered	and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 4-7,9,12,17-19, Claims rejected: 4-7,8, 26-28		
However;		
Applicant's response has overcome the following reje	ion(s):	
4. The affidavit, which or request for reconsideration has be	n considered but does not overcome	the rejection because methy

☐ Other

· see

JOHANN RICHTER EXAMINER ART UNIT 121

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.